Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 12	05 2S HB	Title:	Service by pub./dependency	A	gency:	055-Administrative Office of the Courts
art I: Estima	tes					
No Fiscal Im	pact					
− Estimated Cash Re	ceints to					
NONE						
Estimated Expendi	tures from:					
NONE						
stimated Capital B	udget Impact:					
NONE						
The revenue and exp subject to the provis			ge represent the most likely fiscal impa	ct. Responsibility for	expendi	tures may be
Check applicable b	ooxes and follow	correspon	nding instructions:			
If fiscal impac Parts I-V.	t is greater than S	\$50,000 p	er fiscal year in the current biennium	n or in subsequent	biennia,	complete entire fiscal note fo
	et is less than \$50	,000 per 1	fiscal year in the current biennium of	r in subsequent bie	nnia, co	mplete this page only (Part I).
Capital budge	et impact, comple	ete Part IV	7.			
Contact				Phone:		Date: 02/14/2024
Agency Preparatio	n: Jackie Bailey	-Johnson		Phone: 360-704-5	545	Date: 02/15/2024
Agency Approval:	Chris Stanley			Phone: 360-357-2	406	Date: 02/15/2024
ΦFM Review:				Phone:		Date:

194,870.00 Request # 223-1
Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # 1205 2S HB

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

The second substitute bill would not have any fiscal impacts that are different than previous versions of the bill.

The bill would amend RCW 13.34.080 removing responsibility from court clerks for publishing notice of summons or notice in dependency or termination proceedings and places it to the petitioner. If the petitioner is a minor, the Office of Civil Legal Aid will cover the publication cost and if the petitioner is an indigent parent or legal guardian, the Office of Public Defense will cover the cost.

New Section 2

This section contains a null and void clause for June 30, 2023.

New Section 3

This second substitute bill takes effect January 1, 2024.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

No fiscal impact is expected to the Administrative Office of the Courts. The petitioner in dependency and termination cases is almost always the State of Washington. The bill would result in less expenditures for the Superior Courts, estimated at \$346,019 across the state, as they would no longer be responsible for the publishing costs.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

NONE

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

194,870.00 Request # 223-1 Form FN (Rev 1/00) 2 Bill # 1205 2S HB

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE